

# NEW JERSEY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

## Solar and Storage

2026-RFI-289

June 18, 2026

### ADDENDUM #1

The following constitutes an Addendum, which can be a Clarification and/or Modification to the above referenced solicitation.

---

#### Answers to Respondent's questions

No.	Questions	Answers
1	Section 1 describes the RFI as focused on “utility-scale and behind-the-meter installations” of solar and storage systems. Does NJEDA intend for potential programs or investments arising from this RFI to also support front-of-meter, distribution-connected solar and storage assets, such as rooftop solar on commercial and industrial buildings or standalone BESS sited on commercial properties? Or is the RFI limited to utility-scale and behind-the-meter installations as currently written?	NJEDA is interested in supporting all types of solar and storage projects that will support the expansion of clean energy capacity in the state, including utility scale, behind-the-meter, and other front-of-meter distribution-connected assets.
2	Relatedly, does NJEDA intend for potential programs or investments arising from this RFI to support each of the following project types: standalone battery energy storage systems, standalone solar generation, and co-located solar-plus-storage projects?	Yes, all of these project types are potential projects that would be supported by the Authority.
3	We would also appreciate any clarification NJEDA can provide on how potential financing structures may interact with other federal and state incentives. Specifically, will NJEDA financing be designed to preserve eligibility for the federal Investment Tax Credit and any applicable adders? In addition, does NJEDA expect to coordinate with the BPU to clarify whether NJEDA financing, grants, or other forms of support would affect eligibility for existing or pending BPU programs, including the Community Solar Energy Program and the Garden State Energy Storage Program?	NJEDA is interested in complementing existing federal and state incentives, including preserving project eligibility for federal tax credits and any adders. As noted in question 2b, we are particularly interested in hearing from stakeholders what gaps may exist within existing programs. We welcome feedback for how financial support from the Authority could be specifically structured to work alongside existing programs, recognizing the Authority does

		not intend to duplicate existing mechanisms. The Authority closely coordinates with BPU and will continue to do so for any future programs. Understanding that the federal tax credit environment is also evolving, we are open to suggestions on how the Authority could help address these changes if there is a need.
4	Would EDA prefer a single comprehensive and all-inclusive submission from each respondent, meaning one document that includes our perspectives, comments, and possibly, multiple potential project descriptions, or would EDA prefer multiple submissions from respondents that each include individual project descriptions and separate comments in response to the RFI?	We are able to accommodate different submission formats, but would prefer comprehensive submissions from each respondent even if it includes multiple potential project descriptions. As a reminder, respondents do not need to answer all questions for their response to be considered.

**Modifications**

No.	Modifications
1	None