Appendix B

Historic Property Reinvestment Program Project Scoring Criteria

The Authority has established scoring criteria for the evaluation of proposed rehabilitation projects. These criteria can be used to set a required minimum score for reviewed rehabilitation projects and to allocate tax credits in circumstances where the requests for tax credits exceed the annual maximum cap established by the statute. To receive a tax credit award, a business entity's application must receive a minimum score of 50 out of 100 maximum total score.

Based on the statute's imposed annual cap for the Historic Property Reinvestment Program (HPRP), as well as data reviewed from other states with historic tax credits programs, and information on applicants to the federal historic tax credit program for New Jersey projects, staff anticipate that there is a possibility for the program to be oversubscribed (that is, more applications scoring above the minimum than can be satisfied with the amount of tax credits available). As a result, staff is recommending the utilization of preestablished scoring criteria as a means to competitively rank or compare projects against each other. If the volume of HPRP tax credit award requests is less than currently anticipated, resulting in the program being undersubscribed for any particular year, the minimum score ensures that proposed rehabilitation projects receiving tax credits are consistent with the objectives, goals and principles of the HPRP.

Applications will be reviewed and scored by a committee comprised of a multidisciplinary team of professionals. Members of the committee will include NJEDA staff, as well as professional staff from DEP's Historic Preservation Office. At a minimum, the committee will include at least one staff with experience in the fields of historic preservation, and construction/project management. Staff may seek assistance from consultants hired by the EDA to participate in or support the committee.

The recommended system will score projects with respect to five criteria:

- 1. Historic Significance of Resource
- 2. Imminent Threat to Historic Resource
- 3. Project Concept and Team
- 4. Site Control
- 5. Positive Impact on Surrounding Neighborhood

Criterion 1: Historic Significance of Resource

This criterion will look at specific aspects related to the level of historical significance and designations held by the qualified or transformative property. Specifically, projects will be scored based on the following weighted items:

• Property's Significance

Methodology: What is the level of significance of the qualified or transformative property in its current status? Is it the only or one of a limited number of representative example(s) of a type/period/feature of historic significance, or is it the only existing known property associated with a significant historic figure, historical event, period, or historical feature? Review will be guided by the Criteria for

Evaluation as outlined in 36 CFR § 60.4, and N.J.A.C. 7:4-2.3.

Scoring: Scale of 0-15 points

Historic Fabric/Integrity

Methodology: Does the site retain the ability to convey its historic significance? Are the aspects of integrity (location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, association) as outlined in the original designation remain? Do the most

significant historic features, finishes and materials remain in place?

Scoring: Scale of 0-13 points

• Level of significance

Methodology: What is the level of significance identified within the historic resource

designation? National, State or Local?

Scoring: National Significance 3 points

State Significance 2 points Local Significance 1 point

• Type of Designation

Methodology: What is the highest type of designation held by the historic resource?

Scoring: National Register of Historic Places 4 points

New Jersey Register of Historic Places 3 points
Pinelands Commission Historic Resource Designation 2 points
Identified/Registered by a Certified Local Government 1 point

(For Transformative projects, a property with a NJ Register listing AND a Determination of Eligibility from the Keeper of the National Register of Historic Places will equal a NR listing in score value and will therefore result in 4 points)

• National Historic Landmark

Methodology: Has the historic resource been recognized as a National Historic Landmark

by the Secretary of the Interior?

Scoring: Yes -6 points No -0 points

Criterion 1 – Maximum Total Points: 41

Criterion 2: Imminent Threat to Historic Resource

This criterion evaluates potential threats to historic resources and seeks to award additional points to projects that include the rehabilitation of a qualified or transformative property that without assistance from the HPRP, face an imminent threat and may be lost due to deteriorated physical condition or encroachment from new development. Specifically, projects will be scored based on the following weighted items:

• Building Envelope

Methodology: Is the property in danger due to issues with the Building Envelope which are

causing water infiltration (roof leaks, missing or leaking windows or doors)?

Scoring: Scale of 0-3 points, to be determined based on level of condition

Structural Condition

Methodology: Is there significant damage to structural components such as foundation, roof

rafters, load bearing walls, columns and/or beams? Unless damage is to such a degree that it is easily noticeable (such as in the case of the partial collapse of a portion of the structure), or it already has been condemned or deemed unsafe by a building official with jurisdiction over the site or project, a

structural report from a Structural Engineer must be included.

Scoring: Scale of 0-5 points, to be determined based on level of condition

Vacancy

Methodology: Has the building been fully vacant for at least continuous 1 year (without

utilities)?

Scoring: 5+ Years Continuously Vacant 5 points

4-5 Years Continuously Vacant4 points3-4 Years Continuously Vacant3 points2-3 Years Continuously Vacant2 points1-2 Years Continuously Vacant1 points

• Encroachment

Methodology: Is there encroachment in the immediate vicinity that is believed to pose an

immediate or near future threat to the historic resource?

Scoring: Scale of 0-3 points

Criterion 2 – Maximum Total Points: 16

Criterion 3: Project Concept and Team

This criterion evaluates how well is the project conceptualized/thought-out. It takes into consideration qualification and experience of the project team, proposed project concept/approach,

and consideration of historic resources, as well as whether the project schedule and budget seem realistic and follow industry standards. Specifically, projects will be scored based on the following weighted items:

• Project Reviews and Approvals

Methodology: Have the plans and specifications been reviewed and approved by all

applicable reviewing bodies (building permits, planning board, historic

commission, DEP, etc.)?

Scoring: Scale of 0-5 points

Project Schedule

Methodology: Is the project schedule realistic and achievable within the selected

rehabilitation period?

Scoring: Scale of 0-3 points

• Construction Cost Estimate (CCE)

Methodology: Does the Construction Cost Estimate meet realistic and current market

value/cost for a project of this size/scope/complexity? Was it prepared by a

qualified professional with experience preparing CCEs for projects

addressing historic structures?

Scoring: Scale of 0-3 points

Experience and Qualifications

Methodology: What is the level of experience and qualifications of the applicant, any co-

applicant, and any relevant team members (such as: developer, design professionals, cultural resource consultants, or specialized contractor or subcontractor), and do they have a demonstrated history of successful

completion of projects of similar size/scope/complexity?

Scoring: Scale of 0-5 points

• Proposed Project Approach

Methodology: Does the proposed project approach include adequate consideration of historic

resource(s)? If the project includes ground disturbance, does the project approach clearly define how known, or previously unidentified archeological resources will be addressed if encountered during the course of the project? Has information provided fully addressed requirements for compliance with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and does it include detailed, specific proposed treatment for interior and exterior historic fabric,

materials, and spaces throughout the property?

Scoring: Scale of 0-15 points

Criterion 3 – Maximum Total Points: 31

Criterion 4: Site Control

This criterion evaluates status/level of applicant's site control at time of application. Specifically, projects will be scored based on the following weighted item:

• Status of Site Control

Methodology: Has the business entity/applicant secured site control for the entire project site?

Scoring: Own or have lease of entire site 3 points

Own or have lease of partial site 2 points

Have agreement with current owner(s) for

obtaining control of entire site 1 point

Criterion 4 – Maximum Total Points: 3

Criterion 5: Positive Impact on Surrounding Neighborhood

This criterion evaluates positive impact to the surrounding neighborhood based on the level of local community support and whether the proposed project will provide a positive impact on the surrounding neighborhood by addressing community needs and attracting business owners and/or employers to the region. Specifically, projects will be scored based on the following weighted items:

• Community Needs

Methodology: Does the project articulate that there is an unmet neighborhood, municipal,

and/or regional need that the project is going to meet?

Scoring: Scale of 0-3 points

• Community Support

Methodology: Is there a written letter/s of support from a community group/s from the

neighborhood/area in which the property is located or the municipality for the rehabilitation of the qualified or transformative property, and for the

applicant's project?

Scoring: Scale of 0-3 points

• Impact to Area Businesses/Jobs

Methodology: Will the redevelopment of the project site grow the number of small businesses

or attract employers to the municipality/region?

Scoring: Scale of 0-3 points

Criterion 5 – Maximum Total Points: 9