





#### Introduction

The New Jersey Economic Development Authority (EDA) and the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) offer assistance to municipalities, businesses, developers and community groups at various stages of the brownfield restoration process, from planning to cleanup and redevelopment.

The Hazardous Discharge Site Remediation Fund (HDSRF) has been an integral component of this assistance since 1993; helping to transform underutilized and contaminated sites into environmentally sound, productive properties. This is particularly important in the redevelopment of older urban areas so vital to achieving the smart growth objectives of the State's Master Plan.

The EDA and the DEP, which jointly administer the program, are pleased to present the results of activities for calendar year 2008 under the HDSRF in accordance with the reporting requirements of N.J.S 58:10B-6.

#### **Background on the HDSRF**

The DEP first reviews applications to determine eligibility, reasonableness of costs, and the scope of work needed to investigate and remediate each site. The EDA manages the Fund and makes grants or loans to projects the DEP determines are eligible. Since the program was established, over \$217 million in assistance has been provided to over 1,386 projects.


Municipalities, counties and redevelopment agencies may apply for grants up to \$3 million per year for investigation and remediation activities on properties they own or for which they hold a tax sale certificate and have a comprehensive plan or realistic opportunity to develop within three years. Furthermore, an additional \$2 million is available to municipalities that contain Brownfields Development Areas. Private parties required to perform remediation activities and individuals who want to conduct such actions voluntarily may qualify for low-interest loans of up to \$1 million per year if they are unable to obtain private funding.

Funds may be provided for preliminary assessments for onsite inspections and to review historical ownership and site use to determine if contamination may be present at the sites; site investigations to characterize suspected contamination through preliminary intrusive investigation work; remedial investigations to determine the extent of contamination present; and remedial actions to effectuate cleanup of impacted portions of the sites.









Businesses and private individuals using HDSRF monies to investigate and clean up contaminated properties like former gas stations and industrial properties can enhance the surrounding community by improving the environment and increasing properties values while stimulating new investment.

The report provides details of the HDSRF's financing activity during the year and includes a list of the projects financed, by county (Exhibit A) for the period of January 1, 2008 through December 31, 2008.

#### **2008 HDSRF Activity**

In 2008, the EDA closed a total of 5 loans amounting to nearly \$1.5 million and 114 grants amounting to over \$38 million, providing assistance to businesses, private individuals, and municipal governmental entities for the investigation and clean-up of contaminated sites throughout New Jersey.


The following chart illustrates the allocation of funds for each eligibility category, total approvals for the year, and total disbursements. (See addendum for category descriptions.)

Funding Category	2008 Allocations	2008 Approvals	2008 Disbursements
1	\$4,547,129.66	\$0.00	\$37,571.56
2	\$34,103,472.43	\$39,626,705.00	\$25,907,469.73
3	\$34,103,472.43	\$1,339,148.00	\$1,049,904.52
4	\$11,387,824.14	\$2,187,566.00	\$1,895,294.56
5	\$6,820,694.49	\$0.00	\$45,274.10
6	\$5,000,000.00	\$226,425.00	\$497,694.37
7	\$22,735,648.29	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total	\$118,698,241.44	\$43,379,844.00	\$29,433,208.84









Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 58-10B-7, an extension may be requested in writing explaining the reasons for the delay. If the defined period of time should expire, the commitment loses funding priority to that of newer applicants unless this period is extended. If the applicant submits the required evidence at some point after the defined time period has expired, the Fund is obligated to honor the commitment if monies are available in the Fund.

#### **2009 Available Funds**

The Fund's available cash balance as of January 1, 2009 is approximately \$59 million after deductions for outstanding commitments, undisbursed loans and grants and financing for anticipated NJRA transferred projects. This amount includes loan repayments, interest earnings, and surcharges collected through year's end. This amount does not include the pending FY 2009 appropriation, which is disbursed at the end of the fiscal year.

Below is a chart, which demonstrates HDSRDF allocation by category, which was revised under 2005 amendments to the statute (see addendum for new category descriptions.)

Hazardous Discharge Site Remediation Fund Allocation by Category

Funding Category	Allocation
1	\$3,035,507.69
2	\$22,766,307.65
3	\$22,766,307.65
4	\$7,588,769.22
5	\$4,553,261.53
6	\$4,152,547.00
7	\$15,177,538.44
Total	\$80,040,239.18











Below is an accounting of the project applications that are in process as of the end of February 2009:

Totals:	139 projects	\$31,088,245.00
Non-Profit Projects under review by DEP:	5 projects	\$453,103
Private projects under review by DEP:	31 projects	\$5,039,389
Municipal projects under review by DEP:	78 projects	\$23,526,776
Private projects awaiting financing by EDA:	5 projects	\$220,985
Municipal projects awaiting financing by EDA:	20 projects	\$1,847,992
		Application Request

#### **Project Examples**

The Fund's successful track record has impacted the entire State of New Jersey. Hundreds of projects have moved forward, resulting in the investigation and remediation of many sites that are now viable, tax-ratable assets to the communities in which they are located. The following are some examples of projects that closed in 2008:

#### City of Egg Harbor (Moss Mill Road Landfill)

The project site is a former landfill which has potential environmental areas of concern (AOC's). The City of Egg Harbor owns the project site and has satisfied Proof of Site Control. It is the City's intent, upon completion of the environmental investigation activities, to redevelop the project site for residential development.

The City of Egg Harbor was awarded grant funding to perform preliminary assessment (PA) and site investigation (SI) in the amount of \$164,475 at the project site.

#### *Township of Haddon (Dy-Dee Wash Site)*

The project site is a former laundry and dry cleaning facility which has potential AOCs. The Township of Haddon currently owns the project site and has satisfied Proof of Site Control. It is the Township's intent, upon completion of the environmental investigation activities, to redevelop the project site for mixed-use.

The Township of Haddon was awarded grant funding to perform PA, SI and remedial investigation (RI) in the amount of \$690,798 at the project site.

#### City of Linden (United Lacquer)

The City of Linden received grant funding to perform PA and SI in the amount \$90,063 at the United Lacquer project site in October 2001. The City received a grant in the amount of \$17,152









in October 2005 to perform additional SI. The project site is a former 2.7 acre industrial facility that is currently abandoned. The City currently holds a Tax Sale Certificate and has satisfied Proof of Site Control. Upon completion of environmental activities, it is the City's intent, as outlined in a site specific redevelopment plan, to redevelop the project site for industrial use.

The City of Linden was awarded supplemental grant funding to perform RI activities in the amount of \$240,225 at the project site, for total funding to date of \$347,440.

#### **Synopsis of 2008 BDA Activity**

Under the BDA approach, DEP works with communities affected by multiple brownfields to design and implement remediation and reuse plans for these properties simultaneously. The BDA approach enables remediation and reuse to occur in a coordinated fashion. In the process, DEP invites the various stakeholders, including owners of contaminated properties, potentially responsible parties, developers, community groups, technical experts for the local government and residents themselves, to participate in this cleanup and revitalization approach.


The following BDA projects were approved in 2008:

- City of Bayonne Route 440 East Corridor Redevelopment The City of Bayonne received a grant in the amount of \$152,333 in October 2006 to perform SI at the project site and another grant in the amount of \$378,484 in December 2007 to perform additional SI activities, along with RI and RA activities. The project site has been in heavy industrial use for more than a century. It is the City's intent, upon completion of the environmental investigation activities, to redevelop the project site for commercial re-use. The City of Bayonne was approved for a \$350,408 grant on 6/10/08 to perform supplemental RI.
- Camden Redevelopment Agency Harrison Avenue Landfill The Camden Redevelopment Agency received grants totaling \$9,659,027 between 2005 and 2007 to perform RI and RA activities at the project site. The project site is a former landfill. It is the City's intent, upon completion of the environmental investigation activities, to redevelop the project site into a state-of-the-art 132,000 square feet community center in the Cramer Hill neighborhood of the City. The Kroc Center, as the project is to be known, will feature an atrium-style town plaza, a family service center, indoor and outdoor recreational facilities, an aquatic center, a child care center, and community enrichment, job-training and anti-poverty programs. The Agency was approved for additional grant funding in the amount of \$1,369,650 for the approved project costs.
- City of Elizabeth 3 BDA sites The project sites were used for light industrial and chemical manufacturing. It is the City's intent, upon completion of the environmental investigation activities, to redevelop the project site for commercial, residential and open










- Harrison Redevelopment Agency Spiegel Trucking, Inc. The Agency received an approval for a grant in the amount of \$862,940 in October 2007 to perform RI and RA activities and an approval for a grant in December 2007 in the amount of \$4,003,046 to perform additional RI and RA activities. The project site is a warehouse and it is the Agency's intent, upon completion of the environmental investigation activities, to redevelop the project site for recreation. The Agency was approved for a \$1,827,296 grant on 12/9/08 to perform RA at the project site.
- Jersey City Redevelopment Agency Turnpike Dump #5 The project site was used for operations associated with the rail road, which had tracks running through the site. It is the Agency's intent, upon completion of the environmental investigation activities, to redevelop the project site for commercial and residential re-use. The project calls for the transformation of approximately eight acres of brownfields into a vibrant, new, mixed-use community of over 1,000 new residential units. The project consists of three high rise buildings (30 stories) and two low rise (5 stories) structures, new utilities, roads and open space. During 2008, the Agency was approved for the following assistance in 2008:
  - O The Agency was approved for a \$483,524 grant on 3/11/08 to perform PA and RI at the project site.
  - o The Agency was approved for a \$98,984 grant on 6/10/08 to perform SI and RI at the project site.
  - o The Agency was approved for a \$4,337,346 supplemental grant on 9/9/08 to perform RA at the project site.
- Borough of Keyport 5 BDA sites The project site consists of five sites known as Aeromarine (former aeromarine plane and motor manufacturing facility), Apollo Sewer, Saute Bay, Former sewage treatment plant/current Department of Public Works (DPW) storage yard and former DPW fueling yard/current boat ramp. It is the Borough's intent, upon completion of the environmental investigation activities, to redevelop the project site for recreational and open space. The Borough was approved for the following assistance in 2008:
  - o The Borough was approved for a \$353,612 grant on 2/13/08 to perform PA and SI at the project site.
  - o The Borough was approved for a \$242,959 grant on 5/13/08 to perform RA at the current boat ramp site.










- City of Paterson Apollo Dye House The project site is an abandoned building; historically used as a brewery, silk company and a dye and finishing manufacturer. It is the City's intent, upon completion of the environmental investigation activities, to redevelop the project site as affordable housing. The City was approved for a \$1,720,855 grant on 1/8/08 to perform RI at the project site.
- City of Salem 6 BDA sites The project sites consists of Bader Citgo, a vacant machine shop, GE Fabricators, Powell property, vacant land and rail line and Ernie Davis property. It is the City's intent, upon completion of the environmental investigation activities, to redevelop the project site for mixed-use. The City was approved for a \$447,180 grant on 5/13/08 to perform PA and SI at the project sites.
- City of Salem 4 BDA sites The project sites consists of the former National Freight Salem Terminal, Bermuda Terminal, Salem Sanitary Landfill and former Atlantic City Electric Company. It is the City's intent, upon completion of the environmental investigation activities, to redevelop the project site for mixed-use. The City was approved for a \$145,706 grant on 1/8/08 to perform PA, SI and RI at the project sites.
- Sayreville Economic Redevelopment Agency Former National Lead The project site, consists of over 400 acres over various parcels and has no current operations at the site, but had been used for industrial production and processing. It is the Agency's intent, upon completion of the environmental investigation activities, to redevelop the project site as a mixed-use community. The new development will cost \$1.6 billion and will include a 2.5 mile waterfront promenade, marina, fire house and EMS facility, five hotels, 2.6 million square feet of retail space, 600,000 square feet of office space and 2,000 residential units. The Agency was approved for the following assistance in 2008:
  - The Agency was approved for a \$1,242,103 grant on 8/12/08 to perform RI at the project site.
  - o The Agency was approved for a \$3,757,897 grant on 12/9/08 to perform RA at the project site.

















#### **Recommendations**

P.L. 1993, c. 139 directs EDA to submit, within its report on available funding and financial assistance provided under the HDSRF "suggestions for legislative action..to further the legislative intent to facilitate remediation and promote the redevelopment and use of existing industrial sites." The recent enactment by Governor Jon S. Corzine of the landmark legislation establishing the Licensed Site Remediation Professional Program in DEP, P.L. 2009, c. 60, is expected to expedite the cleanup of thousands of contaminated properties across the State. The EDA strongly supports the measure and looks forward to working with DEP in providing financing for additional remediation efforts in New Jersey.

A three-part addendum is attached which highlights the history of the Fund and the various categories of eligibility along with observations on the impact of recent statutory changes.

Should you need any additional information about the contents of this report, please contact the EDA at (609) 292-2072.









#### I. Addendum: Fund History

In 1993, the Legislature amended and supplemented P.L. 1983, c.330, formerly known as the "Environmental Cleanup and Responsibility Act" to become the "Industrial Site Recovery Act." The Legislature sought these changes to promote certainty in the regulatory process that would serve to facilitate the remediation of contaminated sites and promote the redevelopment of existing industrial sites around the State.

In addition to regulatory reform, the Legislature sought to alleviate the financial burdens that have been imposed on individuals, corporations and municipal government entities that have either voluntarily or involuntarily remediated contaminated sites. This assistance came in the form of a \$45 million appropriation from the Hazardous Discharge Bond Act of 1986 to a new Fund entitled the Hazardous Discharge Site Remediation Fund (the "Fund"), which the EDA jointly administers with the Department of Environmental Protection ("DEP").

The Fund received an additional \$10 million from the Economic Recovery Fund in its initial capitalization, and in 1997, the Legislature appropriated an additional \$20 million from the Hazardous Discharge Bond Act of 1986 to the Fund making a total of \$75 million available for the remediation of contaminated sites.

The responsibilities associated with administering the Fund have been divided between the EDA and the DEP. Initial contact and proposals for funding are made through the DEP, which has streamlined the environmental review process to provide timely approvals. Once environmental approvals and eligible project costs have been determined by the DEP, the applications are forwarded to the EDA so that eligible parties may access the financing available to them through this Fund.

Upon receiving these applications, the EDA conducts a financial review and forwards them to its Board of Directors with a recommendation for funding. The DEP and EDA have adhered to a strict interpretation of the law in implementing this Fund. As a consequence of this interpretation, the Fund is truly the last resort to finance potential remediations.

Applicants do not possess insurance and in most cases have little or no collateral to secure loans made by the Fund. Under normal circumstances, these applications would not meet the EDA's credit criteria for funding. Each Fund application is reviewed therefore in light of the legislative intent behind the program that dictates to the EDA that these more risky loans should be made for the general public good that will be achieved through such remediations.

In 1997, the Act was amended to create two new eligibility categories that the EDA and DEP implemented in early 1998: Category 8 provides matching grants for individuals using



## keeps getting better







innovative technology for remediation; Category 9 provides matching grants or loan guarantees for persons or businesses that implement limited restricted use or unrestricted use remedial actions. As a result, Category 10 is now the "catch all" category, which was formerly Category 8. These new categories were created by allocating 5% each from Category 5 and Category 6. In 1999, the Legislature revised the qualification for municipalities to receive certain grants for remediation investigations from the Fund.

The law requires a municipality either to have a comprehensive Brownfields redevelopment plan in place, or to demonstrate to the EDA that a property has a realistic opportunity of being developed or redeveloped within three years of the completion of the remediation. The law further requires a municipality or the New Jersey Redevelopment Authority to actually own the real property prior to receiving a grant for remedial investigation from the Fund. The law ensures that a municipality does not spend funds without having made a substantial commitment to the development of the Brownfields site.

In 2003, legislation was passed dedicating an additional \$40 million to aid in replenishing the Fund. In addition, New Jersey Voters approved a State Constitutional amendment in November 2003 which permits the State to use a surplus in Corporate Business Tax revenues dedicated to the Petroleum Underground Storage Tank Remediation, Upgrade, and Closure Program to provide a new and reliable long-term funding source for the Hazardous Discharge Site Remediation Fund.

In 2005, legislation was passed creating new and expanded uses of HDSRF funds for municipalities, counties and redevelopment entities. The new legislation provides grant funds for recreation areas, conservation areas and projects involving affordable housing, in addition to providing additional grant funds on a priority basis and dedicated case management in the Department of Environmental Protection to municipalities with areas designated as a Brownfields Development Area.

In 2006, Governor Jon Corzine, signed legislation that changes the limits on grants from the Hazardous Discharge Site Remediation Fund to municipalities, counties and redevelopment agencies authorized to exercise redevelopment powers pursuant to N.J.S.A.40A:12A-4. The law previously limited grants to those entities to 75% of the total costs of the remediation. The new law authorizes grants to those entities for up to 100% of the costs of the preliminary assessment, site investigation and remedial investigation regardless of the date the application for funding was submitted to the Department of Environmental Protection. It authorizes grants for up to 75% of the costs of the remedial action of a contaminated site for applications received by the department after September 15, 2005.

In 2007, Governor Jon Corzine signed legislation that removed the 70% cap on the amount of grant money that could be awarded from the Fund. This allowed projects that were initially approved as loans, to subsequently be converted to grants in 2007.









#### **II. Addendum: Funding Categories**

As defined in the Act, financial assistance and grants from the Fund were provided for the following purposes and obligated on an annual basis in the defined percentages. An additional funding category was also created by the legislation, C.58:10B-25.3, to establish a pilot program for awarding grants to non-profit organizations. Funding for the pilot program is capped at \$5,000,000 by statute.

The non-profit category is listed as item 7 below because it appears last in the legislation; however it is reported as item 6 in the above charts to comport with technical aspects of EDA's tracking system.

#### **2005 Funding Categories:**

(1) Loans to Persons in a Qualifying Municipality: Moneys shall be allocated for financial assistance to persons, for remediation of real property located in a qualifying municipality as defined in section 1 of P.L.1978, c.14 (C.52:27D-178).


#### (2) Municipalities, Counties and Redevelopment Entities:

- (a) Moneys shall be allocated to: municipalities, counties, or redevelopment entities authorized to exercise redevelopment powers pursuant to section 4 of P.L.1992, c.79 (C.40A:12A-4).
  - (i) BDAs: projects in brownfield development areas pursuant to subsection f. of section 27 of P.L.1993, c.139 (C.58:10B-5),
  - (ii) Matching grants for innovative technology: matching grants up to a cumulative total amount from the Fund of \$5,000,000 per year of up to 75% of the costs of the remedial action for projects involving the redevelopment of contaminated property for recreation and conservation purposes, provided that the use of the property for recreation and conservation purposes is included in the comprehensive plan for the development or redevelopment of contaminated property, or up to 50% of the costs of the remedial action for projects involving the redevelopment of contaminated property for affordable housing pursuant to P.L.1985, c.222 (C.52:27D-301 et seq.).
  - (iii) Matching grants for unrestricted or limited restricted use cleanup: grants for preliminary assessment, site investigation or remedial investigation of a contaminated site.










- (v) Financial assistance for remediation activities at sites that have been contaminated by a discharge of a hazardous substance or hazardous waste, or at which there is an imminent and significant threat of a discharge of a hazardous substance or hazardous waste, and the discharge or threatened discharge poses or would pose an imminent and significant threat to a drinking water source, to human health, or to a sensitive or significant ecological area; or
- (b) persons for financial assistance for remediation activities at sites that have been contaminated by a discharge of a hazardous substance or hazardous waste, or at which there is an imminent and significant threat of a discharge of a hazardous substance or hazardous waste, and the discharge or threatened discharge poses or would pose an imminent and significant threat to a drinking water source, to human health, or to a sensitive or significant ecological area.
- (3) **Private Loans for Voluntary Remediation:** Moneys shall be allocated for financial assistance to persons who voluntarily perform a remediation of a hazardous substance or hazardous waste discharge;
- (4) Innocent Party Grants: Moneys shall be allocated for grants to persons who own real property on which there has been a discharge of a hazardous substance or a hazardous waste and that person qualifies for an innocent party grant. A person qualifies for an innocent party grant if that person acquired the property prior to December 31, 1983, the hazardous substance or hazardous waste that was discharged at the property was not used by the person at that site, and that person certifies that he did not discharge any hazardous substance or hazardous waste at an area where a discharge is discovered. A grant authorized pursuant to this paragraph may be for up to 50% of the remediation costs at the area of concern for which the person qualifies for an innocent party grant, except that no grant awarded pursuant to this paragraph to any person may exceed \$1,000,000;
- (5) Private Loans for Environmental Opportunity Zones: Moneys shall be allocated for (a) financial assistance to persons who own and plan to remediate an environmental opportunity zone for which an exemption from real property taxes has been granted pursuant to section 5 of P.L.1995, c.413 (C.54:4-3.154), or (b) matching grants for up to 25% of the project costs to qualifying persons, municipalities, counties, and redevelopment entities authorized to exercise redevelopment powers pursuant to section 4 of P.L.1992, c.79 (C.40A:12A-4), who propose to perform a remedial action that uses an innovative technology, or for the implementation of a limited restricted use remedial









- (6) Catch all: Twenty percent of the moneys in the remediation Fund shall be allocated for financial assistance or grants for any of the purposes enumerated in paragraphs (1) through (5).
- (7) Non-profits: The Department of Environmental Protection, in consultation with the New Jersey Economic Development Authority, shall develop a pilot program to award grants from the Hazardous Discharge Site Remediation Fund established pursuant to section 26 of P.L.1993, c.139 (C.58:10B-4) to nonprofit organizations described in section 501(c)(3) of the federal Internal Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. s.501(c)(3), that are exempt from taxation pursuant to section 501(a) of the federal Internal Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. s.501(a), for the preliminary assessment, site investigation, and remedial investigation of real property that has been contaminated or is suspected of being contaminated by the discharge of a hazardous substance. All of the limitations and conditions for the award of financial assistance and grants applicable to municipalities pursuant to the provisions of the "Brownfield and Contaminated Site Remediation Act," P.L.1997, c.278 (C.58:10B-1.1 et al.) shall apply to the award of grants to a nonprofit organization pursuant to this section. The total amount awarded pursuant to this pilot program shall not exceed \$5,000,000.








# III. Addendum: Impact of funding category changes, including the addition of Brownfields Development Areas and remedial action financing.

In 2008 EDA closed 5 loans and 114 grants amounting to over \$38 million. In 2007 the EDA closed a total of 6 loans and 76 grants amounting to nearly \$23.4 million, while in 2006 EDA closed a total of 1 loans and 43 grants amounting to nearly \$10.8 million. In 2005 EDA closed a total of 4 loans and 47 grants amounting to over \$3.6 million.



In addition and as mentioned above, there have been recent legislative changes allowing for a portion of funding of remedial action for affordable housing, recreation and open space. Furthermore, the statutory increase in money available, an additional \$2 million on top of \$3 million per year, to municipalities that contain Brownfields Development Areas (BDAs) has resulted in an increase in demand and also in money being drawn from the Fund.

EDA will continue to monitor the effect of the various legislative changes that have occurred to improve the operation and efficiency of this resource and to foster its continuity.



# EXHIBIT A

List of projects, by county, financed for the period of January 1, 2008 through December 31, 2008.

#### FROM 01/01/2008 TO 12/31/2008

SPECIFIED PROGRAMS

PROJ#	APPLICANT NAME	MUNICIPALITY	PROJ TYPE	EST NEW JOBS	CONST JOBS	PROGRAM TYPE	AUTHORITY FIN'G AMOUNT	GUARANTEE ON BOND	TOTAL PROJECT COSTS	MAIN JOBS
COUNT	Y: Atlantic									
P20136	Borough of Buena (Mazzoni Property)	Buena Borough	SR			HSM	85,622		86,122	
P19291	City of Atlantic City (Bungalow Park B - Ball Court)	Atlantic City	SR			HSM	9,592		10,092	
P16195	City of Egg Harbor (Former Gas Station)	Egg Harbor City	SR			HSM	38,912		38,912	
20585	City of Egg Harbor (Moss Mill Road Landfill)	Egg Harbor Township	SR			HSM	164,475		164,975	
21804	Township of Hamilton (Hamilton Twp Sanitary Landfill)	Hamilton Township	SR			HSM	379,125		379,625	
TOTA	LS FOR Atlantic COUNTY: 5 PROJECT(s)			0	0		677,726		679,726	
COUNT	Y: Bergen									
221146	Borough of Wood-Ridge (Wood-Ridge	Wood-Ridge Borough	SR			HSM	208,981		209,481	
	Development Site)									
20372	City of Hackensack (Eval Oil Terminal Property)	Hackensack City	SR			HSM	339,585		340,085	
20734	Ford Fasteners, Inc.	Hackensack City	SR			HAZ	178,797		666,923	
20901	Lumer Associates, LLC	East Rutherford Borough	SR			HAZ	365,032		697,379	
22715	Sykes-WNJ, LLC	Waldwick Borough	SR			HAZ	66,160		246,900	
21231	Teaneck Community Charter School	Teaneck Township	SR			HAZ	99,974		100,474	
20374	Village of Ridgefield Park (NJTA Maintenance/Storage Yard)	Ridgefield Park Village	SR			HSM	85,745		86,245	
19031	Wyckoff Cleaners, Inc.	Wyckoff Township	SR			HAZ	132,418		134,243	
TOTA	LS FOR Bergen COUNTY: 8 PROJECT(s)			0	0		1,476,692		2,481,730	
COUNT	Y: Burlington									
21081	City of Burlington (Commerce Square Ind Park)	Burlington City	SR			HAZ	162,800		163,300	
23402	Ferrell Brennan Partnership	Southampton Township	SR			HAZ	22,825		85,575	
23405	Ferrell Brennan Partnership	Southampton Township	SR			HAZ	88,825		331,575	
22330	Palmyra Borough (Route 73 South)	Palmyra Borough	SR			HSM	736,603		737,103	
18253	Township of Mount Holly (Former Ankokas Lagoon Parcel)	Mount Holly Township	SR			HSM	90,352		90,852	
18223	Township of Mount Holly (Former Ankokas Mills)	Mount Holly Township	SR			HSM	477,309		477,809	
TOTAL	LS FOR Burlington COUNTY: 6 PROJECT(s)			0	0		1,578,714		1,886,214	

COUNTY: Camden

Page: 1

<sup>\*</sup> There may be costs/jobs reported on a related financing.

DDOL ECT NEW CONCT DDOCDAM

AUTHODITY

CHADANTEE

TOTAL DROJECT

MATNEE

FROM 01/01/2008 TO 12/31/2008

SPECIFIED PROGRAMS

P18353 City of Newark (Nat Turner Park)

Page: 2

PROJ#	APPLICANT NAME	MUNICIPALITY	PROJ TYPE	EST NEW JOBS	CONST PROGRAM JOBS TYPE	AUTHORITY FIN'G AMOUNT	GUARANTEE ON BOND	TOTAL PROJECT COSTS	MAINT JOBS
P23392	Camden Redevelopment Agency (ABC Barrel Company)	Camden City	SR		HSM	81,425		81,925	
P20369	Camden Redevelopment Agency (Block N Project)	Camden City	SR		HSM	36,789		37,289	
P22032	Camden Redevelopment Agency (Camden Waterfront-East Village)	Camden City	SR		HSM	217,074		217,574	
220733	Camden Redevelopment Agency (Former RCA Building 8)	Camden City	SR		HSM	35,029		35,529	
21319	Camden Redevelopment Agency (Former RCA Building 8)	Camden City	SR		HSM	61,665		62,165	
20557	Camden Redevelopment Agency (Harrison Avenue Landfill)	Camden City	SR		HSM	4,770,150		6,285,700	
21145	Camden Redevelopment Agency (Sears Tire and Battery)	Camden City	SR		HSM	183,293		182,793	
20906	Singh Gas, Inc. and Amrik Singh	Voorhees Township	SR		HAZ	633,388		640,227	
21956	Township of Haddon (Dy-Dee Wash Site)	Haddon Township	SR		HSM	690,798		691,298	
22326	Township of Haddon (Lahn Property)	Haddon Township	SR		HSM	259,636		260,136	
22332	Township of Haddon (Media Property)	Haddon Township	SR		HSM	195,914		196,414	
22327	Township of Haddon (Residence/Frmr Dollar Store)	Haddon Township	SR		HSM	17,172		17,672	
22915	Township of Haddon (Spadea Manufacturing Property)	Haddon Township	SR		HSM	225,650		226,150	
TOTA	LS FOR Camden COUNTY: 13 PROJECT(s)			0	0	7,407,983		8,934,872	
COUNT	Y: Cumberland								
20990	City of Bridgeton (East Commerce Street Project)	Bridgeton City	SR		HSM	742,522		743,022	
22339	City of Millville (Millville Airport Ind Park Exp)	Millville City	SR		HSM	2,768,329		2,768,829	
19159	City of Vineland (Block 597 Project)	Vineland City	SR		HSM	178,852		179,352	
18746	City of Vineland (Cedarwood Drive Landfill)	Vineland City	SR		HSM	264,664		265,164	
19559	City of Vineland (Former Landis Citgo)	Vineland City	SR		HSM	72,135		72,635	
20189	City of Vineland (Landis Theater/Mori Building)	Vineland City	SR		HSM	64,055		64,555	
20991	City of Vineland (Landis Theater/Mori Building)	Vineland City	SR		HSM	72,980		73,480	
17928	City of Vineland (Snell Building)	Vineland City	SR		HSM	67,127		67,627	
19161	City of Vineland (Vineland Glass Company)	Vineland City	SR		HSM	79,585		80,085	
TOTA	LS FOR Cumberland COUNTY: 9 PROJECT(s)			0	0	4,310,249		4,314,749	
COUNT	Y: Essex								
19562	City of Newark (Former Humble Oil)	Newark City	SR		HSM	29,051		29,551	
P22857	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Newark City	SR		HSM	31,909		32,409	
010252	City of Novyouls (Not Typmon Douls)	Marriagh City	CD		HCM	27 521		29 021	

<sup>\*</sup> There may be costs/jobs reported on a related financing. \*\* Note: Residential UST projects are not included on this report.

HSM

37,521

(mastchar.prg) (mastchar.frx)

38,021

SR

Newark City

Printed: 03/19/2009

#### MASTER CHART OF CLOSED FINANCINGS

## NJEDA PROGRAMS, BY COUNTY

PROJ EST NEW CONST PROGRAM

AUTHORITY

GUARANTEE

TOTAL PROJECT

MAINT

FROM 01/01/2008 TO 12/31/2008

SPECIFIED PROGRAMS

PROJ#	APPLICANT NAME	MUNICIPALITY	TYPE	JOBS	JOBS	TYPE	FIN'G AMOUNT	ON BOND	COSTS	JOBS
P19799	City of Newark (Nat Turner Park)	Newark City	SR			HSM	2,962,479		3,857,853	
P21874	City of Orange Township (534 Mitchell Street)	Orange City	SR			HSM	20,004		20,504	
P21873	City of Orange Township (540 Mitchell Street)	Orange City	SR			HSM	20,565		21,065	
P21077	Estate of Michelle Matturro	Bloomfield Township	SR			HAZ	38,770		40,270	
P22532	Judith Woodruff	Maplewood Township	SR			HAZ	38,869		155,974	
P19033	Mybar Realty Company	Newark City	SR			HAZ	596,486		596,486	
P23983	Rockland Corporation	West Caldwell Township	SR			HAZ	155,973		624,392	
P19761	Township of Maplewood (Former Fresco Silver Company)	Maplewood Township	SR			HSM	373,995		374,495	
P20903	Township of Montclair (Frm. Southend Pyramid Station)	Montclair Township	SR			HSM	111,612		112,112	
ТОТА	LS FOR Essex COUNTY: 12 PROJECT(s)			0	0		4,417,234		5,903,132	
COUNT	Y: Gloucester									
P19771	Borough of Glassboro (Frm. Migrant Worker's Camp)	Glassboro Borough	SR			HSM	77,704		78,204	
P19188	Gloucester County Improvement Authority (Gloucester County Park)	Logan Township	SR			HSM	596,730		683,305	
P21752	James Helder	Deptford Township	SR			HAZ	16,505		66,335	
P20184	Township of Mantua (10 various sites)	Single County - Multi	SR			HSM	9,900		10,400	
P20898	Township of Mantua (Mangel Partnership/Lambs Road)	Single County - Multi	SR			HSM	22,770		23,270	
тота	LS FOR Gloucester COUNTY: 5 PROJECT(s)			0	0		723,609		861,514	
COUNT	Y: Hudson									
P22350	City of Bayonne (Route 440 Corridor East Redev.)	Bayonne City	SR			HSM	350,408		350,908	
P22308	Eileen Magullian	Kearny Town	SR			HAZ	450,362		860,301	
P21428	Harrison Redevelopment Agency (Former Hartz Mountain Facility)	Harrison Town	SR			HSM	316,536		317,036	
P19199	Harrison Redevelopment Agency (Frm. Hartz Mountain Facility)	Harrison Town	SR			HSM	134,014		134,514	
P19800	Harrison Redevelopment Agency (Spiegel Trucking, Inc.)	Harrison Town	SR			HSM	862,940		879,450	
P20376		Harrison Town	SR			HSM	4,003,046		5,293,125	
P21229	Jersey City Redevelopment Agency (Turnpike Dump #5)	Jersey City	SR			HSM	483,524		484,024	
P22305	Jersey City Redevelopment Agency (Turnpike Dump #5)	Jersey City	SR			HSM	98,984		99,484	
P21139	Makal Realty	Union City	SR			HAZ	47,267		176,677	
Page: 3				-		d on a related t	-			astchar.prg)
Printed: (	03/19/2009		** Not	e: Residential	UST projec	ts are not inclu	ded on this report.		(ma	astchar.frx)

CONST PROGRAM

AUTHORITY

GUARANTEE

TOTAL PROJECT

MAINT

FROM 01/01/2008 TO 12/31/2008

PROJ EST NEW

SPECIFIED	PROGRAMS
or LCII ILD	LICOULTING

PROJ#	APPLICANT NAME	MUNICIPALITY	PROJ TYPE	EST NEW JOBS	JOBS JOBS	PROGRAM TYPE	AUTHORITY FIN'G AMOUNT	GUARANTEE ON BOND	TOTAL PROJECT COSTS	MAINT JOBS
P21966 P22855	Prime Realty Company Town of Kearny (941 Passaic Avenue, LLC)	Jersey City Kearny Town	SR SR			HAZ HSM	216,118 98,973		413,089 99,473	
ТОТА	LS FOR Hudson COUNTY: 11 PROJECT(s)			0	0		7,062,172		9,108,081	
COUNT	Y: Hunterdon									
P20992 P19484	Borough of High Bridge (Komeline Sanderson) Township of East Amwell (Meszaros Auto Wrecking)	High Bridge Borough East Amwell Township	SR SR			HSM HSM	92,460 202,444		92,960 202,944	
ТОТА	LS FOR Hunterdon COUNTY: 2 PROJECT(s)			0	0		294,904		295,904	
COUNT	Y: Mercer									
P21314 P23557	James E. Cunningham Township of Lawrence (Dyson Tract)	Washington Township Lawrence Township	SR SR			HAZ HSM	21,892 71,720		82,097 72,220	
ТОТА	LS FOR Mercer COUNTY: 2 PROJECT(s)			0	0		93,612		154,317	
COUNT	Y: Middlesex									
P23276	Sayreville Economic Redevelopment Agency (Former National Lead)	Sayreville Borough	SR			HSM	1,242,103		1,242,603	
P20731	South Amboy Redevelopment Agency (Frm. Sewage Treatment Plant)	South Amboy City	SR			HSM	61,787		62,287	
P23390	Texas Eastern Terminal Company	South Plainfield Borough	SR			HAZ	1,000,000		2,827,093	
P22028 P21317	Township of Old Bridge (Sommer Property) Township of Woodbridge (Fifth District Park)	Old Bridge Township Woodbridge Township	SR SR			HSM HSM	414,455 35,794		414,955 36,294	
TOTA	LS FOR Middlesex COUNTY: 5 PROJECT(s)			0	0		2,754,139		4,583,232	
COUNT	Y: Monmouth									
P23398	Borough of Belmar (River Road Acquisitions)	Belmar Borough	SR			HSM	5,643		6,143	
P21970	Borough of Keyport (Current Boat Ramp BDA Site)	Keyport Borough	SR			HSM	242,959		317,083	
P20185 P21318	Borough of Keyport (Five Keyport BDA Sites) Borough of Neptune City (East Coast Ice Company)	Keyport Borough Neptune City Borough	SR SR			HSM HSM	353,612 57,952		354,112 58,452	
P20993 P21528	City of Asbury Park (Block 36 Project) Cityworks Neptune Office, LLC	Asbury Park City Neptune Township	SR SR			HSM HAZ	83,585 62,771		84,085 63,271	
Page: 4				-	-	ed on a related f	-		(m	astchar.prg)
Printed: (	03/19/2009		** No	te: Residentia	l UST projec	ets are not include	ded on this report.		(m	astchar.frx)

FROM 01/01/2008 TO 12/31/2008

SPECIFIED PROGRAMS

ROJ#	APPLICANT NAME	MUNICIPALITY	PROJ TYPE	EST NEW JOBS	CONST JOBS	PROGRAM TYPE	AUTHORITY FIN'G AMOUNT	GUARANTEE ON BOND	TOTAL PROJECT COSTS	MAIN JOBS
2324	Patricia A. Wolfer	Upper Freehold Township	SR			HAZ	70,504		72,004	
2184	Township of Aberdeen (Frm. Matawan Boro Water Facil.)	Aberdeen Township	SR			HSM	60,874		61,374	
9037	Township of Marlboro (Former Dimeo Property)	Marlboro Township	SR			HSM	121,748		122,248	
8687	Township of Marlboro (Marlboro Psychiatric Hospital)	Marlboro Township	SR			HSM	126,909		127,409	
7683	Township of Neptune (Former Tides Motel)	Neptune Township	SR			HSM	20,440		27,134	
9519	Township of Neptune (Shark River Municipal Marina)	Neptune Township	SR			HSM	60,975		61,475	
3642	Union Laboratories	Marlboro Township	SR			HAZ	58,116		217,115	
ΓΟΤΑΙ	LS FOR Monmouth COUNTY: 13 PROJECT(s)			0	0	•	1,326,088		1,571,905	
DUNTY	Y: Morris									
9483	Hanover Township (Frm Van Dyk Research Corp.)	Hanover Township	SR			HSM	106,867		107,367	
1315	Mark Gallagher	Denville Township	SR			HAZ	2,056		8,422	
7093	Township of Jefferson (Former Contex Fuel Company)	Roxbury Township	SR			HSM	30,486		30,986	
1529	Township of Jefferson (Former Contex Fuel Company)	Jefferson Township	SR			HSM	47,208		47,708	
ГОТАІ	LS FOR Morris COUNTY: 4 PROJECT(s)			0	0		186,617		194,483	
OUNTY	Y: Passaic									
0183	City of Clifton (Formal National Standard)	Clifton City	SR			HSM	588,967		589,467	
9517	City of Paterson (34-50 Spruce Street)	Paterson City	SR			HSM	5,500		6,000	
9036	City of Paterson (Addy Mill Site)	Paterson City	SR			HSM	102,857		103,357	
1522	City of Paterson (Apollo Dye House)	Paterson City	SR			HSM	1,720,855		1,721,355	
1142	City of Paterson (Columbia Textile Mill)	Paterson City	SR			HSM	472,120		472,620	
2718	City of Paterson (Columbia Textile Mill)	Paterson City	SR			HSM	168,142		168,642	
9833	City of Paterson (Former ATP Site)	Paterson City	SR			HSM	641,996		642,496	
1751	City of Paterson (Ryle Road Dump Site)	Paterson City	SR			HSM	68,831		69,331	
2721	City of Paterson (Salvatore Verga)	Paterson City	SR			HSM	26,438		26,938	
3449 1524	Haskell Products, Inc. Paterson Habitat for Humanity (Former Leonhard Wax Property)	Wanaque Borough Haledon Borough	SR SR			HAZ HAZ	88,554 63,680		169,559 64,180	
ſΟΤΑΙ	LS FOR Passaic COUNTY: 11 PROJECT(s)			0			3,947,940		4,033,945	

Page: 5

<sup>\*</sup> There may be costs/jobs reported on a related financing.

<sup>(</sup>mastchar.prg) (mastchar.frx)

#### FROM 01/01/2008 TO 12/31/2008

SPECIFIED PROGRAMS

PROJ#	APPLICANT NAME	MUNICIPALITY	PROJ TYPE	EST NEW JOBS	CONST JOBS	PROGRAM TYPE	AUTHORITY FIN'G AMOUNT	GUARANTEE ON BOND	TOTAL PROJECT COSTS	MAINT JOBS
COUNT	Y: Salem									
P19292	City of Salem (383 & 412 Broadway Sites)	Salem City	SR			HSM	134,336		134,836	
P20188	City of Salem (Four Salem BDA Sites)	Salem City	SR			HSM	145,706		146,206	
P18688	City of Salem (Six Salem BDA sites)	Salem City	SR			HSM	447,180		447,680	
P19515	City of Salem (Tri County Oil)	Salem City	SR			HSM	49,149		64,542	
P22841	Main Pacific and Petroleum, Inc.	Pennsville Township	SR			HAZ	86,124		344,993	
P18036	Township of Carneys Point (A. Clemente Asphalt Plant)		SR			HSM	82,013		82,513	
TOTA	LS FOR Salem COUNTY: 6 PROJECT(s)			0	0		944,508		1,220,770	
COUNT	Y: Union									
P19444	Borough of Roselle Park (Youth Baseball Field Complex)	Roselle Park Borough	SR			HSM	120,903		121,403	
P21141	City of Elizabeth (Three Elizabeth BDA Sites)	Elizabeth City	SR			HSM	371,943		372,443	
P21143	City of Linden (United Lacquer)	Linden City	SR			HSM	240,225		240,725	
22183	City of Plainfield (Arlington)	Plainfield City	SR			HSM	45,948		46,448	
P21082	City of Plainfield (East 2nd Street Parking Lot)	Plainfield City	SR			HSM	2,970		3,470	
P20735	Patwin Plastics, Inc.	Linden City	SR			HAZ	67,500		129,364	
TOTA	LS FOR Union COUNTY: 6 PROJECT(s)			0	0		849,489		913,853	
COUNT	Y: Warren									
P16654	Town of Phillipsburg (US Gas)	Phillipsburg Town	SR			HSM	41,178		41,178	
TOTA	LS FOR Warren COUNTY: 1 PROJECT(s)			0	0		41,178		41,178	
TOTA	LS FOR ALL COUNTIES: 119 PROJECT(s)			0	0		38,092,854		47,179,605	

Page: 6

<sup>\*</sup> There may be costs/jobs reported on a related financing.